SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, MAY 13, 2008 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S161750 H030422 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. LEBBOS (BETSEY WARREN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 16, 2008.

S161796 D050375 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. MONTERROSO (LUIS DANIEL)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 13, 2008.

S161825 C052350 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. PUNCH (JOSEPH CHARLES)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 16, 2008.

S161901 B196697 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 **M. (D), IN RE**The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 18, 2008.

S161907 A111629 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. VON STADEN (KURT D.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 18, 2008.

S154076 B182885 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 MANCO CONTRACTING CO. v. BEZDIKIAN (KIRKOR)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's answer to the amicus curiae brief filed by Northrop Grumman in support of respondent is extended to June 7, 2008.

S156555 B195121 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 HARRIS (FRANCES) v. S.C. (LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY)

Extension of time granted

On application of the real parties in interest, Liberty Mutual Insurance Company and Golden Eagle Insurance Corporation and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to June 3, 2008.

S161190 B193500 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 COMMUNITIES FOR A

BETTER ENVIRONMENT v.

SOUTH COAST AIR

QUALITY MANAGEMENT

DISTRICT

(CONOCOPHILLIPS

COMPANY)

Extension of time granted

On application of real party in interest, Conocophillips Company, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is hereby extended to June 16, 2008.

S161190 B193500 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 COMMUNITIES FOR A

BETTER ENVIRONMENT v.

SOUTH COAST AIR

OUALITY MANAGEMENT

DISTRICT

(CONOCOPHILLIPS

COMPANY)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent, South Coast Air Quality Management District, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is hereby extended to June 16, 2008.

S126953

BROOKINS ON DISCIPLINE

Probation modified

It is ordered that the probation previously ordered in S126953 (State Bar Court case nos. 03-O-00770; 03-O-00775; 03-O-01585; 03-O-02334; 03-O-04336; 04-O-10350 (Cons.)) be extended for an additional three years and that ZAKEYA LEONA BROOKINS, State Bar No. 212900, pays restitution and disciplinary costs as set forth in S126953 as modified by the orders of the State Bar Court Hearing Department filed on January 3 and April 17, 2008. All other terms and conditions remain the same.

S161732

KASTELIC ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that DEBRA L. KASTELIC, State Bar No. 144682, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that she be actually suspended from the practice of law for 75 days and until she makes restitution to Anthony Jerry DeFazio in the amount of \$695 plus 10% interest per annum from May 2, 2006 (or to the Client Security Fund to the extent of any payment from the fund to Anthony Jerry DeFazio, plus interest and costs, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5), and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles, and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate her actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on December 19, 2007. Any restitution to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d). Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating her actual suspension. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, she must remain actually suspended until she provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of her actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) If respondent is actually suspended for 90 days or more, it is further ordered that she comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court, and that she perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. *(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S161734

HOWARD ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that SUE ANN HOWARD, State Bar No. 164265, be suspended from the practice of law for one year and until she has made the specified restitution and until she has complied with the requirements of standard 1.4 (c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation, including 90 days actual suspension and until she has made restitution to Charles Strayhand in the amount of \$5,772 plus 10% interest per annum from June 1, 2003; and to Pamela Cason-Crow in the amount of \$950 plus 10% interest per annum from January 1, 2004 (or to the Client Security Fund to the extent of any payment from the fund to Charles Strayhand and Pamela Cason-Crow, plus interest and costs, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5), and has furnished satisfactory proof thereof to the State Bar's Office of Probation. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d). Respondent is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on January 22, 2008. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, she must remain actually suspended until she provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is also ordered that she take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of her actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that she comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court, and that she perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S161735

BOUZANE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that JOHN MARK EDWARD BOUZANE, State Bar No. 79804, be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 23, 2008. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after

the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and one-half of said costs must be paid with membership fees for the years 2009 and 2010. It is further ordered that if JOHN MARK EDWARD BOUZANE fails to pay any installment of disciplinary costs within the time provided herein or as may be modified by the State Bar Court pursuant to section 6086.10, subdivision (c), the remaining balance of the costs is due and payable immediately unless relief has been granted under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 286.) The payment of costs is enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S161736

LANDIS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that CRANE STEPHEN LANDIS, State Bar No. 205057, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be actually suspended from the practice of law for 90 days, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on December 11, 2007, and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his actual suspension. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he must remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of his actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that respondent comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. *(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S161737

ORTEGA ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that DAVID RICHARD ORTEGA, State Bar No. 113890, be suspended from the practice of law for four years and until he provides proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the

suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years on condition that he be actually suspended for two years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of respondent's rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4 (c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. Respondent is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation, including restitution, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 23, 2008. It is also ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn.8.) Respondent is further ordered to comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S163380

MOORE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that STEVEN JOHN MOORE, State Bar No. 186179, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year on condition that he be actually suspended for thirty days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed March 6, 2008, as modified by its order filed on April 15, 2008. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. The order of this court is final forthwith.

S163447

CROWLEY ON RESIGNATION

Resignation declined

This court having received and considered the voluntary resignation of EDMUND TODD CROWLEY, State Bar No. 154948, as a member of the State Bar of California, declines to accept the resignation. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.21(d).)

S163450

ROBERTSON ON RESIGNATION

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation of GEORGE RAY ROBERTSON, State Bar No. 157558, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.* It is recommended that costs be awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S163454

VISGER ON RESIGNATION

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation of MELVIN JOSEPH VISGER, State Bar No. 138783, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.* It is recommended that costs be awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S163456

KANG ON RESIGNATION

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation of RANDHIR SINGH KANG, State Bar No. 153327, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.* It is recommended that costs be awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

B202413 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEREZ (LETICIA H.) v. PEREZ (RALPH A.)

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division Three to Division One.

B203285 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

EDISON CO. ET AL. v. ARIZONA ELECTRIC POWER COOPERATIVE, INC., ET AL.

INC., ET AL.

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division One to Division Three.

B203356 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 BFI WASTE SYSTEMS, ETC.

v. HUB CITY SOLID WASTE

SERVICES, ET AL.

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division Three to Division Four.

B204844 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

v. AMERICAN CONTRACTORS INDEMNITY CO.

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division Four to Division Three.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 817)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)